



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,067	03/11/2004	Tsu-Chen Yu		4390
7590	10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Ann Tsai Suite 137, PmB 174 931 West 75th Street Naperville, IL 60565				HAWKINS, CHERYL N
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,067	YU, TSU-CHEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some *
 - c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

The term “plate” in claim 1 is used by the claim to mean “a curved substrate”, while the accepted meaning as defined by Merriam-Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary is “a smooth flat thin piece of material”. The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term. For the purposes of examination, it will be assumed that the “press plate” is referring to a “curved pressing substrate”.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the limitation "press base" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ingram (US 4,816,109). Ingram discloses a glue tape cutter comprising a cutting table (Figure 1, frame 10) with two opposite lateral covers (Figure 5, sides 11 and 12); a curved pressing substrate (Figure 1, roller 21), being disposed at the bottom of the cutting table; at least a holding blade (Figures 6 and 8, pin 48), being fixed to the cutting table above the curved pressing substrate; a cutting base (Figure 2, lever unit 31), being rotationally attached to the cutting table; a knife blade (Figures 6 and 8, cutter plate 45), being attached to an upper part of the cutting base; and a shaft (Figures 2 and 5, shaft 32), being disposed at a lower part of the cutting base and both ends thereof being attached to the lateral covers of the cutting table respectively whereby, once the cutting base rotates, the glue tape (Figure 6, tape 38) can produce an adhered reversed fold (Figure 6, tab 57).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1734

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 2, the prior art of record of the Ingram (US 4,816,109) does not disclose or provide any suggestion for modifying the shaft to extend outwardly from one of the lateral covers to engage with a stir lever.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record to Smillie, III (US 4,824,427) discloses an adhesive tape cutter which includes a movable touch element which may be translated into juxtaposition with a stationary touch element to bring portions of a tape product into contact with one another to form a tab. The prior art of record to Suhr (US 4,944,720) discloses an adhesive tape cutter which includes a joint that allows the outer end of the cutter to swing down and onto the tape causing the tape to fold back on itself creating a tab where the two adhesive surfaces contact each other. The prior art of record to Malcolm (US 3,810,567) discloses an adhesive tape cutter which includes a tape severing member and a tape contacting member which are movable toward and away from each other whereby a free end portion of a tape extending from a reel and between the two members is folded upon itself to form a tab responsive to movement of one of the members toward the other. The prior art of record to Phelps (US 5,662,578) discloses an adhesive tape cutter which includes a movable roll member which creates a fold on the distal end of the tape to provide a user with a non-sticky end for grasping the tape.

Art Unit: 1734

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl N Hawkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A Fiorilla can be reached on (517) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl N. Hawkins
October 15, 2004

CHF
CHRIS FIORILLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 1734